



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/921,567	08/06/2001	Takuya Kuroki	212404US2	7052
22850	7590 12/19/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	SIREEI UA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2815	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\langle A \ V \langle J \rangle$				
. :	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/921,567	KUROKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph Nguyen	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTLy statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this cor NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .						
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for							
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-28 is/are wi	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2001</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are require	d in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in Ap	plication No					
	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	•						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			application).				
 a)							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s formal Patent Application (PTO					

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-21 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because claims 1-21 are readable on figures 1 and 9 whereas claims 24-28 are readable on figure 10. Note that claims 24 and 25, which comprise a case body fastening the display panel via a fasten member, are mutually exclusive from claims 1 and 11. As such, a search and examination of claims 1-21, 24-28 would place a serious burden on the Examiner. Therefore, claims 1-21 are prosecuted while claims 22-28 are withdrawn from consideration.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 10, 11-18, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komano.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 1, Komano discloses on figure 1 a display device comprising a front frame 13 having an annular picture frame and having a pair of side faces and a pair of end faces provided in outer circumferential sides of the picture frame, a mold frame 12 sandwiched by the pair of side faces and the pair of end faces, and a display panel 11 arranged between the front frame and the mold frame, wherein stopper pieces 78 for alignment of the display panel are formed in the side faces and the end faces.

Regarding claim 2, Komano discloses on figure 1 the stopper pieces 78 are stepped portions formed by working the side faces and the end faces.

Regarding claim 3, Komano discloses on figure 1 the stopper pieces 78 are ones formed by folding protrusions protruding from a root of the side faces and the end faces.

Regarding claim 4, Komano discloses on figure 1 the stopper pieces 78 are space members stuck on the side faces and the end faces.

Regarding claim 5, Komano discloses on figure 1 the display panel 11 is connected to a circuit substrate 76 via a plurality of films 75 arranged and spaced by gaps, and the stopper pieces 78 are inserted in the gaps.

Regarding claim 6, Komano discloses on figure 1 the gaps into which the stopper pieces are inserted are made wider than other gaps.

Regarding claim 7, Komano discloses on figure 1 not only alignment of the display panel 11 but also alignment of the mold frame 12 are implemented by the stopper pieces 78.

Regarding claim 10, the claim language is merely the intended use and therefore is not given a patentable weight.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 11, Komano discloses on figure 1 a display device comprising a front frame 13 having an annular picture frame and having a pair of side faces and a pair of end faces provided in outer circumferential sides of the picture frame, and a display panel 11 arranged between the front frame and a mold frame 12, where first stopper pieces 78 for alignment of the display panel are formed in the side face and the end face, second stopper pieces (elements right next to 31) for alignment of the display panel are formed in a circumference of the mold frame 12, and the second stopper pieces are opposed to the first stopper pieces 78 via the display panel 11.

Regarding claim 12, Komano discloses on figure 1 the mold frame 12 sandwiched by the pair of side faces and the pair of end faces.

Regarding claim 13, Komano discloses on figure 1 the stopper pieces are stepped portions formed by working the side faces and end faces.

Regarding claim 14, Komano discloses on figure 1 the stopper pieces are ones formed by folding protrusions protruding from a root of the side faces and the end faces.

Regarding claim 15, Komano discloses on figure 1 the stopper pieces are spacer members stuck on the side faces and the end faces.

Regarding claim 16, Komano discloses on figure 1 the display panel 11 is connected to a circuit substrate 76 via s plurality of films 75 arranged and spaced by gaps, and the stopper pieces are inserted into the gaps.

Regarding claim 17, Komano discloses on figure 1 the gaps into which the stopper pieces are inserted are made wider than other gaps.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 18, Komano discloses on figure 1 not only alignment of the display panel 11 but also alignment of the mold frame 12 are implemented by the stopper pieces.

Regarding claim 21, the claim language is merely the intended use and therefore is not given a patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komano as applied to claims 1 and 11 above.

Regarding claims 8 and 19, Komano discloses on figure 1 substantially all the structures set forth in the claimed invention except distances between the side face and the end face and an alignment face of the stopper piece set to 0.1 to 0.5 mm. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Komano by having distances between the side face and the end face and an alignment face of the stopper piece set to 0.1 to 0.5 mm for the purpose of improving the efficiency of a display device, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claims 9 and 20, Komano discloses on figure 1 substantially all the structures set forth in the claimed invention except distances between the side face and the end face and an alignment face of the stopper piece set to 0.3 to 0.5 mm. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Komano by having distances between the side face and the end face and an alignment face of the stopper piece set to 0.3 to 0.5 mm for the purpose of improving the efficiency of a display device, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 6426784 B1 to Sakai et al. discloses a liquid display device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382 for regular communications.

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JN

October 28, 2003

GEORGE ECKERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7